

<p>Village Hall Working Party Committee meeting – VHWC</p> <p>22nd October 2020 – 7.00pm – ‘Teams’, Ousden</p> <p>Attendees from the working party:</p> <p>Christine Ingham – CI</p> <p>Celia Holt (Chair)– CH</p> <p>Richard Hurrell – RH</p> <p>Mike Hole - MH</p> <p>Julie Pryke – JP</p> <p>Sophie Mason - SM</p> <p>Attendees from the management committee:</p> <p>Jessamy Saltmarsh – JS</p> <p>Apologies</p> <p>John Gale – JG</p>		
<p>CH opened the meeting proposing to cover the current ongoing topics and invited the respective attendees to update the committee;</p> <p>MH Section 106 / planning</p> <p>Has been in touch with the case officer, Ed Fosker, with a view to speaking with the legal team at West Suffolk planning regarding our submission. David Burkin (Legal team) has informed MH that a section 106 agreement is not required, as what happens to the money is up to us, rather than anything to do with planning. Secondly he suggested that the new hall had to be built before the old hall was demolished. MH will check planning policy on this point</p> <p>Action - MH will need to go back to the case officer to verify whether he should now split the application rather than the original hybrid suggested by the planners with the pre-application with the demolition / and outline planning for new home and separate Village Hall application, similarly submitted by Stradishall and Tuddenham who demolished first and then built. MH will c.c. Mike Chester</p> <p>MH -Section 106 still might come back into play from the planning authority so not wasted.</p> <p>MH timeline for the division and re-write of the potential new planning application and confirmation of who needs what, will be ready by</p>	<p>To Action</p> <p>MH</p>	<p>Time Frame</p>

<p>Christmas for submission in the new year (12 weeks approximately – mid January 2021)</p>		
<p>JP/MH agreed to continue with the section 106 agreement for now, as there is a meeting 29th October with JP/MH and the Parish Council to approve the first draft of the section 106 agreement.</p>	JP/MH	
<p>MH need to confirm costs, meetings, structured , more partitioned segmented to make it easier for them to get the info that they want , open meeting links</p>		
<p>JS asked about application fees to the planning authority and MH thought it might be £100's of difference in cost as opposed to more and hopefully the money that we have paid for the hybrid application will cover the two separate applications or even the application fees could be less.</p>		
<p>Action MH will send an email to Mike Chester to explain the current situation, before c.c.ing him on e-mail to planners so he is aware of the situation before receiving the e-mail</p>	MH	
<p>Fundraising SM – Credit to CI for the large amount of work for the Community Chest application Action – SM/CI check application with JS as we are asking for demolition/associated legal costs and how we present the request for these costs within the wider project costs.</p>	CI/SM with JS	
<p>SM proposes breaking down the fundraising requests in to stages so we apply for these grants with the grant makers in steps as the project progresses. Action JS to send SM the timeline for the build with MH in c.c. SM can then 'match make' with the grant makers</p>	JS to SM	
<p>SM noted that she would have thought that a section 106 agreement would be required for National Lottery/Swire funding application. It might be worth looking at one or two other grant makers to see if it is a requirement. Ultimately we will submit the Suffolk Chest submission and see where we get to.</p>		
<p>Next meeting date : 1st December 2020 at 7pm 'TEAMS'</p>		